UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

FAYEZ MANSOR, et al., Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES, et al., Defendants.	CASE NO. C23-0347JLR ORDER

Before the court are the parties' pending cross motions for summary judgment. (Pl. MSJ (Dkt. # 89); Defs. MSJ (Dkt. # 92).) In those cross motions, the parties briefed the issue of whether Defendant U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' ("USCIS") Prima Facie Eligibility Streamlined Case Processing ("PFE SCP") system for adjudicating concurrently filed applications for employment authorization and temporary protected status complies with

certain statutory and regulatory requirements. (*See generally* Pl. MSJ; Defs. MSJ.)

On February 10, 2025, Defendants filed a "Notice of Administrative Action" to:

> inform the [c]ourt that as of February 4, 2025, pursuant to sections 2(a)(iii) and (iv) of Exec. Order No. 14,161, *Protecting the United States From Foreign Terrorists and Other National Security and Public Safety Threats* (Jan. 20, 2025), and section 16(c) of Exec. Order No. 14,159, *Protecting the American People against Invasion* (Jan. 20, 2025), USCIS paused PFE SCP to review the sufficiency of the background checks within the PFE SCP workflow and to ensure compliance with the Executive Orders.

(Not. (Dkt. # 97) at 2 (emphasis in original).) Defendant USCIS states that it will "update the court as soon as it has additional information on the status of the review and its impact on the processing of employment authorization applications submitted by initial TPS applicants." (*Id.*)

Based on the court's review of Defendants' Notice, the court understands that the pause and review of the PFE SCP workflow affects the PFE SCP system and processes that are squarely at issue in the parties' summary judgment briefing. Thus, any modifications made to the PFE SCP system during this period may materially change the parties' arguments in support of their respective motions.

Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE, by **February 14, 2025**, why the parties' cross motions should not be stricken from the docket, with leave to refile the motions at a later date, if appropriate, after Defendants

have completed their review. No replies shall be filed unless further ordered by the court.

Dated this 10th day of February, 2025.

JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge